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#### Abstract

A graph is equimatchable if all of its maximal matchings have the same size. A graph is claw-free if it does not have a claw as an induced subgraph. In this paper, we provide the first characterization of claw-free equimatchable graphs by identifying the equimatchable claw-free graph families. This characterization implies an efficient recognition algorithm. © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

A graph $G$ is equimatchable if every maximal matching of $G$ has the same cardinality. Equimatchable graphs are first considered by Grünbaum [8], Lewin [18], and Meng [19] simultaneously in 1974. They are formally introduced by Lesk et al. in 1984 [16]. Equimatchable graphs can be recognized in polynomial time (see [16] and [2]). From the structural point of view, all 3-connected planar equimatchable graphs and all 3-connected cubic equimatchable graphs are determined by Kawarabayashi et al. [13]. Besides, Kawarabayashi and Plummer showed that equimatchable graphs with fixed genus have bounded size [12], while Frendrup et al. characterized equimatchable graphs with girth at least 5 [7]. Factor-critical equimatchable graphs with vertex connectivity 1 and 2 are characterized by Favaron [5].

A graph $G$ is well-covered if every maximal independent set of $G$ has the same cardinality. Well-covered graphs are closely related to equimatchable graphs since the line graph of an equimatchable graph is a well-covered graph. Finbow et al. [6] provide a characterization of well-covered graphs that contain neither 4-cycles nor 5-cycles, whereas Staples [20] provides characterizations of some subclasses of well-covered graphs. A graph is claw-free if it does not have a claw as an induced subgraph. Recognition algorithms for claw-free graphs have been presented by Kloks et al. [14], Faenza et al. [4], and Hermelin et al. [11]. Claw-free well-covered graphs have been investigated by Levit and Tankus [17] and by Hartnell and Plummer [10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no previous study in the literature about claw-free equimatchable graphs.

In this paper, we investigate the characterization of claw-free equimatchable graphs. In Section 2, we give some preliminary results. In particular, we show that the case of equimatchable claw-free graphs with even number of vertices reduces to cliques with an even number of vertices or a 4-cycle, and all graphs with odd number of vertices and independence

[^0]number at most 2 are claw-free and equimatchable. We also show that the remaining equimatchable claw-free graphs have (vertex) connectivity at most 3. Based on this fact, in Section 3, we focus on 1-connected, 2-connected (based on a result of Favaron [5]) and 3-connected equimatchable claw-free graphs with odd number of vertices separately. Our full characterization is summarized in Section 4 , where we provide a recognition algorithm running in time $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{1.407}\right)$ where $m$ refers to the number of edges in the input graph.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, after giving some graph theoretical definitions, we mention some known results about matchings in clawfree graphs and develop some tools for our proofs.

Given a simple graph $G=(V(G), E(G))$, a clique (resp. independent set) of $G$ is a subset of pairwise adjacent (resp. nonadjacent) vertices of $G$. The independence number of $G$ denoted by $\alpha(G)$ is the maximum size of an independent set of $G$. We denote by $N(v)$ the set of neighbors of $v \in V(G)$. For a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G, N_{G^{\prime}}(v)$ denotes $N(v) \cap V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. A vertex $v$ is complete to a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ if $N_{G^{\prime}}(v)=V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. For $U \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[U]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $U$. For simplicity, according to the context, we will use a set of vertices or the (sub)graph induced by a set of vertices in the same manner. We denote by $u v$ a potential edge between two vertices $u$ and $v$. Similarly, we denote paths and cycles of a graph as sequences of its vertices. In this work, $n$ denotes the order $|V(G)|$ of the graph $G$. We say that $G$ is an odd graph (resp. even graph) if $n$ is odd (resp. even). For a set $X$ and a singleton $\{x\}$ we use the shorthand notations $X+x$ and $X-x$ for $X \cup\{x\}$ and $X \backslash\{x\}$, respectively.

We denote by $P_{p}, C_{p}$ and $K_{p}$ the path, cycle, and complete graph, respectively, on $p$ vertices and by $K_{p, q}$ the complete bipartite graph with bipartition sizes $p$ and $q$. The graph $K_{1,3}$ is termed claw. A graph is claw-free if it contains no claw as an induced subgraph.

A set of vertices $S$ of a connected graph $G$ such that $G \backslash S$ is not connected is termed a cut set. A cut set is minimal if none of its proper subsets is a cut set. A $k$-cut is a cut set with $k$ vertices. A graph is $k$-connected if it has more than $k$ vertices and every cut set of it has at least $k$ vertices. The (vertex) connectivity of $G$, denoted by $\kappa(G)$, is the largest number $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-connected.

A matching of a graph $G$ is a subset $M \subseteq E(G)$ of pairwise non-adjacent edges. A vertex $v$ of $G$ is saturated by $M$ if $v \in V(M)$ and exposed by $M$ otherwise. A matching $M$ is maximal in $G$ if no other matching of $G$ contains $M$. Note that a matching $M$ is maximal if and only if $V(G) \backslash V(M)$ is an independent set. A matching $M$ is a perfect matching of $G$ if $V(M)=V(G)$.

A graph $G$ is equimatchable if every maximal matching of $G$ has the same cardinality. A graph $G$ is randomly matchable if every matching of $G$ can be extended to a perfect matching. In other words, randomly matchable graphs are equimatchable graphs admitting a perfect matching. A graph $G$ is factor-critical if $G-u$ has a perfect matching for every vertex $u$ of $G$.

The following facts are frequently used in our arguments.
Lemma 1 ([21]). Every connected claw-free even graph admits a perfect matching.
Corollary 2 ([21]). Every 2-connected claw-free odd graph is factor-critical.
Lemma 3 ([22]). A connected graph is randomly matchable if and only if it is isomorphic to $K_{2 p}$ or $K_{p, p}(p \geq 1)$.
Using the above facts, we identify some easy cases as follows.
Proposition 4. A connected even graph is claw-free and equimatchable if and only if it is isomorphic to $K_{2 p}(p \geq 1)$ or $C_{4}$.
Proof. The graphs $K_{2 p}$ and $C_{4}$ are clearly equimatchable and claw-free. Conversely, let $G$ be a connected equimatchable claw-free even graph. By Lemma 1, $G$ admits a perfect matching. Therefore, $G$ is randomly matchable. By Lemma $3, G$ is either a $K_{p, p}$ or a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$. Since $G$ is a claw-free graph, it is a $K_{2 p}$ or a $C_{4}$.

Lemma 5. Every odd graph $G$ with $\alpha(G)=2$ is equimatchable and claw-free.
Proof. Every matching of $G$ has at most $(n-1) / 2$ edges since $n$ is odd. On the other hand, a maximal matching with less than $(n-1) / 2$ edges implies an independent set with at least 3 vertices, a contradiction. Then every maximal matching has exactly $(n-1) / 2$ edges. The graph $G$ is clearly claw-free because a claw contains an independent set with 3 vertices.

Thus, from here onwards, we focus on the case where $G$ is odd and $\alpha(G) \geq 3$. The following lemmas provide the main tools to obtain our characterization in Section 3 and enable us to confine the rest of this study to the cases with connectivity at most 3.

Lemma 6. Let $G$ be a connected equimatchable claw-free odd graph and $M$ be a matching of $G$. Then the following hold:
(i) Every maximal matching of $G$ leaves exactly one vertex exposed.
(ii) The subgraph $G \backslash V(M)$ contains exactly one odd connected component and this component is equimatchable.
(iii) The even connected components of $G \backslash V(M)$ are randomly matchable.

## Proof.

(i) Let $v$ be a non-cut vertex of $G$ (every graph has such a vertex). Then $G-v$ is a connected claw-free even graph, which by Lemma 1 admits a perfect matching with size $(n-1) / 2$. This matching is clearly a maximum matching of $G$ that leaves exactly one vertex exposed. Since $G$ is equimatchable, every maximal matching of $G$ leaves exactly one vertex exposed.
(ii) Since $G$ is odd and $V(M)$ has an even number of vertices, $G \backslash V(M)$ contains at least one odd component. If $G \backslash V(M)$ contains two odd components, then every maximal matching extending $M$ leaves at least two exposed vertices, contradicting (i). Let $G_{1}$ be the unique odd component of $G \backslash V(M)$. Assume for a contradiction that some maximal matching $M_{1}$ of $G_{1}$ leaves at least three exposed vertices. Then any maximal matching of $G$ extending $M \cup M_{1}$ leaves at least three exposed vertices, contradicting (i). Therefore, every maximal matching of $G_{1}$ leaves exactly one vertex exposed; i.e., $G_{1}$ is equimatchable.
(iii) Let $G_{i}$ be an even component of $G \backslash V(M)$. Assume for a contradiction that there is a maximal matching $M_{i}$ of $G_{i}$ leaving at least two exposed vertices. Then any maximal matching of $G$ extending $M \cup M_{i}$ leaves at least two exposed vertices, contradicting (i).

Lemma 7. Let $G$ be a connected claw-free odd graph. Then $G$ is equimatchable if and only if for every independent set I of 3 vertices, $G \backslash I$ has at least two odd connected components.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 6(i), picking up a non-cut vertex $v$ of $G$, the perfect matching of $G-v$ is a matching of $G$ with $(n-1) / 2$ edges.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Assume that $G$ is equimatchable, and let $I$ be an independent set of $G$ with 3 vertices. Suppose, for a contradiction, that all connected components of $G \backslash I$ are even. Thus, every such connected component admits a perfect matching by Lemma 1. The union of all these matchings is a maximal matching of $G$ with size $(n-3) / 2$, contradicting the equimatchability of $G$. Then $G \backslash I$ has at least one odd component. The claim follows from parity considerations.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Assume that $G$ is not equimatchable. Then $G$ has a maximal matching $M$ of size $(n-3) / 2$ by the following fact. Consider any maximal matching $M^{\prime}$ of $G$ with size $(n-\ell) / 2$ for some $\ell \geq 3$. If $\ell \geq 4$ find an $M^{\prime}$-augmenting path and increase $M^{\prime}$ along this augmenting path. Indeed, the new matching $M^{\prime \prime}$ obtained in this way is still maximal (the set of vertices exposed by $M^{\prime \prime}$ is a subset of vertices exposed by $M^{\prime}$ ) and contains one more edge. We repeat this procedure until the matching reaches size $(n-3) / 2$. Then $I=G \backslash V(M)$ is an independent set with size 3 and $G \backslash I$ has a perfect matching, namely $M$. This implies that every connected component of $G \backslash I$ is even.

Corollary 8. If $G$ is an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, then $\kappa(G) \leq 3$.
Proof. Let $I$ be an independent set of $G$ with three vertices, and assume for a contradiction that $\kappa(G) \geq 4$. Then $G \backslash I$ is connected and even, contradicting Lemma 7.

## 3. Equimatchable claw-free odd graphs with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$

Let $G$ be a connected equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$. By Corollary $8, \kappa(G) \leq 3$. Since $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, $G$ contains independent sets $I$ of three vertices, each of which is a 3-cut by Lemma 7. If $\kappa(G)=3$, then every such $I$ is a minimal cut set. In Section 3.1 (see Lemma 14) we show that the other direction also holds; i.e. if every such $I$ is a minimal cut set, then $\kappa(G)=3$. Therefore, if $\kappa(G)=2$, at least one independent 3 -cut $I$ is not minimal; i.e. $G$ contains two non-adjacent vertices forming a cut set (we will call this cut set a strongly independent 2-cut). We analyze this case in Section 3.2. Finally, we analyze the case $\kappa(G)=1$ in Section 3.3.

In each subsection we describe the related graph families. Although we will use their full descriptions in the proofs, we also introduce the following notation for a more compact description that will be useful in the illustrations of Fig. 2 and in the recognition algorithm given in Corollary 27. Let $H$ be a graph on $k$ vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ and let $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$ be non-negative integers denoting the multiplicities of the corresponding vertices. Then $H\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ denotes the graph obtained from $H$ by repeatedly replacing each vertex $v_{i}$ with a clique of $n_{i} \geq 0$ vertices, each of which having the same neighborhood as $v_{i}$; i.e. each vertex in such a clique is a twin of $v_{i}$. Clearly, $H=H(1, \ldots, 1)$ where all multiplicities are 1 . Note that if $n_{i}=0$ for some $i$, this means that the vertex $v_{i}$ is deleted.

The following observations will be useful in our proofs.
Lemma 9. Let $G$ be a connected claw-free graph, $S$ be a minimal cut set of $G, C$ be an induced cycle of $G \backslash S$ with at least 4 vertices, and $K$ be a clique of $G \backslash S$. Then
(i) $G \backslash S$ consists of exactly two connected components, and every vertex of $S$ has a neighbor in both of them.
(ii) The set $N_{G_{i}}(s)$ is a clique for every vertex $s \in S$ and every connected component $G_{i}$ of $G \backslash S$.
(iii) The neighborhood of every vertex of $S$ in $C$ is either empty or consists of exactly two adjacent vertices of $C$.
(iv) If $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are two non-adjacent vertices of $S$, then $N_{K}\left(s_{1}\right) \cap N_{K}\left(s_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ or $N_{K}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{K}\left(s_{2}\right)=K$.

## Proof.

(i) By the minimality of $S$, every vertex $s \in S$ is adjacent to at least two components of $G \backslash S$. Assume for a contradiction that a vertex $s \in S$ is adjacent to three connected components of $G \backslash S$. Then, $s$ together with one arbitrary vertex adjacent to it from each component form a claw, contradiction. Therefore, every vertex $s \in S$ is adjacent to exactly two components of $G \backslash S$. Furthermore, by the minimality of $S$, every component is adjacent to every vertex of $S$. Therefore $G \backslash S$ consists of exactly two connected components.
(ii) Let $s \in S$, and $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be the two connected components of $G \backslash S$. Assume that the claim is not correct. Then, without loss of generality, there are two non-adjacent vertices $w, w^{\prime} \in N_{G_{1}}[s]$. Then $s, w, w^{\prime}$ together with an arbitrary vertex of $N_{G_{2}}(s)$ form a claw, contradiction.
(iii) Let $s \in S$ be adjacent to a vertex $v$ of $C$. If $s$ is adjacent to none of the two neighbors of $v$ in $C$, then $v, s$, and the two neighbors $v$ in $C$ form a claw, contradiction. If $s$ has three neighbors in $C$, then its neighborhood in the connected component of $C$ is not a clique, contradicting (ii).
(iv) Assume for a contradiction that $N_{K}\left(s_{1}\right) \cap N_{K}\left(s_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $N_{K}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{K}\left(s_{2}\right) \subset K$. Let $c \in N_{K}\left(s_{1}\right) \cap N_{K}\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $a \in K \backslash N_{K}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{K}\left(s_{2}\right)$. Then $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, a, c\right\}$ induces a claw, contradiction.
3.1. Equimatchable claw-free odd graphs with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=3$

In this section we show that the class of claw-free equimatchable odd graphs with independence number at least 3 and connectivity 3 is equivalent to the following graph class.

Definition 1. Graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$ if it has an independent 3-cut $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$ such that
(i) The subgraph $G \backslash S$ consists of two connected components $A$ and $A^{\prime}$, each of which is an odd clique of at least three vertices
(ii) there exist two vertices $a \in A, a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ such that

- $N\left(s_{1}\right)=A+a^{\prime}$,
- $N\left(s_{2}\right)=A^{\prime}+a$, and
- $N\left(s_{3}\right)=A \cup A^{\prime} \backslash\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}$.

We note that

$$
\mathcal{G}_{3}=\left\{G_{3}(1,2 p, 1,1,1,2 q, 1) \mid p, q \geq 1\right\}
$$

where $G_{3}$ is the graph depicted in Fig. 2g.
Proposition 10. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$, then $G$ is a connected equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G)=\kappa(G)=3$.
Proof. The only independent sets of $G_{3}$ with 3 vertices are $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$ and $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{3}, a, a^{\prime}\right\}$. Both $G \backslash S$ and $G \backslash S^{\prime}$ have two odd components; hence, $G$ is equimatchable by Lemma 7. All other properties are easily verifiable.

The following lemma provides the general structure of the claw-free equimatchable odd graphs with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G) \leq 3$.

Lemma 11. Let $G$ be an equimatchable claw-free odd graph. If $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$ is a minimal independent cut set of $G$, then $G \backslash S$ consists of two odd cliques $A$ and $A^{\prime}$, each of which has at least three vertices, and every vertex of $S$ has a neighbor in both $A$ and $A^{\prime}$.

Proof. By Lemma 9(i), $G \backslash S$ consists of two components $A$ and $A^{\prime}$. By Lemma 7, both $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are odd. If one of $A$ of $A^{\prime}$ consists of a single vertex, then this single vertex together with $S$ forms a claw. Therefore, each of $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ has at least three vertices. It remains to show that both $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are cliques.

Let $v, v^{\prime}$ be two vertices of $G[A]$ such that the distance between $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ is as large as possible. If $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ are adjacent, then $A$ is a clique. Now suppose that $v v^{\prime} \notin E(G)$. We claim that neither $v$ nor $v^{\prime}$ is a cut vertex of $G[A]$. Suppose that $G[A \backslash v]$ has two connected components, $B$ and $B^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, let $v^{\prime}$ be in $B^{\prime}$. Then every vertex $b$ in $B$ is further from $v^{\prime}$ than $v$ is, since every path between $b$ and $v^{\prime}$ contains $v$, a contradiction. Therefore, neither $v$ nor $v^{\prime}$ is a cut vertex of $G[A]$, as claimed. At least one of $v, v^{\prime}$ is adjacent to at most one vertex of $S$ because otherwise, by counting arguments, at least one vertex of $S$ is adjacent to both $v$ and $v^{\prime}$, contradicting Lemma 9(ii). Assume without loss of generality that $v$ is non-adjacent to $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$, and consider the independent set $I=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, v\right\}$. If $v$ is not the unique vertex of $A$ adjacent to $s_{3}$, then $G \backslash I$ is connected and even, contradicting Lemma 7. Otherwise, $G \backslash I$ consists of two even components, again contradicting Lemma 7. Therefore, $A$ is a clique, and by symmetry, so is $A^{\prime}$.

We note that Lemma 11 is a variant of the following result in the literature for the case $k=3$; indeed Lemma 11 is also valid for connectivity 1 and 2 . This will enable us to replace the connectivity 3 condition with the existence of a minimal independent cut set of three vertices in what follows.

Lemma 12 ([3]). Let $G$ be a $k$-connected equimatchable factor-critical graph with at least $2 k+3$ vertices and ak-cut $S$ such that $G \backslash S$ has two components with at least 3 vertices, where $k \geq 3$. Then $G \backslash S$ has exactly two components and both are complete graphs.

Proposition 13. If $G$ is an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and it contains a minimal independent cut set $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$ with three vertices, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 11, Property (i) of Definition 1 holds. We proceed to show (ii). Since $S$ is minimal, every vertex $s \in S$ has a neighbor in each of $A$ and $A^{\prime}$. Suppose that a connected component of $G \backslash S$, say $A$, has a vertex $v$ that is non-adjacent to two vertices, say $s_{1}, s_{2}$ of $S$. Then $I=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, v\right\}$ is an independent set with three vertices and $G \backslash I$ is either connected, or has two even components $A^{\prime}+s_{3}$ and $A-v$ (when $N_{A}\left(s_{3}\right)=\{v\}$ ), contradicting Lemma 7. Therefore, every vertex of $A \cup A^{\prime}$ is adjacent to at least two vertices of $S$. As already observed, a vertex of $A \cup A^{\prime}$ that is complete to $S$ implies a claw, contradiction. We conclude that every vertex of $A \cup A^{\prime}$ is adjacent to exactly two vertices of $S$. For $i, j \in$ [3], let $N_{i, j}=N_{A}\left(s_{i}\right) \cap N_{A}\left(s_{j}\right)$ and $N_{i, j}^{\prime}=N_{A^{\prime}}\left(s_{i}\right) \cap N_{A^{\prime}}\left(s_{j}\right)$. We have shown that $\left\{N_{1,2}, N_{2,3}, N_{1,3}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{N_{1,2}^{\prime}, N_{2,3}^{\prime}, N_{1,3}^{\prime}\right\}$ ) is a partition of $A$ (resp. $A^{\prime}$ ).

Assume that for some pair $(i, j)$ none of $N_{i, j}, N_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is empty, and let $k=6-i-j$. Consider the set $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{k}, w_{i j}, w_{i j}^{\prime}\right\}$ where $w_{i j}$ and $w_{i j}^{\prime}$ are arbitrary vertices of $N_{i, j}$ and $N_{i, j}^{\prime}$, respectively. $S^{\prime}$ is an independent set, and it is easy to verify that if one of $N_{i, j}$ and $N_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is not a singleton, say $N_{i, j}$, then $G \backslash S^{\prime}$ is connected; indeed, in this case, there exists a vertex $u_{i j} \in N_{i, j}-w_{i j}$. Moreover, either $N_{i, k}^{\prime}$ or $N_{j, k}^{\prime}$ is non-empty (since otherwise $s_{k}$ would not have a neighbor in $A^{\prime}$, contradicting the minimality of $S$ ) implying that $G \backslash S^{\prime}$ is connected. Therefore, for every pair ( $i, j$ ) either one of $N_{i, j}, N_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is empty or both are singletons.

Suppose that for every pair $(i, j)$ one of $N_{i, j}, N_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is empty. Then at least 3 of the 6 sets are empty, and two of them must be in the same component, say $A$. Suppose that, for instance $N_{1,2}=N_{1,3}=\emptyset$. Then $N_{A}\left(s_{1}\right)=N_{1,2} \cup N_{1,3}=\emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore, for at least one pair $(i, j)$, both $N_{i, j}$ and $N_{i, j}^{\prime}$ are singletons. We can renumber the vertices of $S$ such that $N_{1,2}=\{a\}$ and $N_{1,2}^{\prime}=\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}$ are singletons. Now suppose that for some other pair, say (2,3), $N_{2,3}=\left\{w_{23}\right\}$ and $N_{2,3}^{\prime}=\left\{w_{23}^{\prime}\right\}$ are singletons. Then the matching $\left\{a^{\prime} w_{23}^{\prime}, s_{1} a, s_{3} w_{23}\right\}$ disconnects $G$ into three odd components, contradicting Lemma 6(ii). Therefore, both pairs $(2,3)$ and $(1,3)$ fall into the other category, i.e. one of $N_{2,3}, N_{2,3}^{\prime}$ and one of $N_{1,3}, N_{1,3}^{\prime}$ is empty. Since two sets from the same component cannot be empty, we conclude that without loss of generality $N_{2,3}=N_{1,3}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. In other words, $A=N_{1,3}+a$ and $A^{\prime}=N_{2,3}^{\prime}+a^{\prime}$. Hence, property (ii) also holds.

We conclude this section with the following summarizing lemma.
Lemma 14. Let $G$ be an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\kappa(G)=3$,
(ii) every independent set $S$ of $G$ with three vertices is a minimal cut set,
(iii) $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $S$ be an independent set with three vertices. By Lemma $7, S$ is a cut set, and since $\kappa(G)=3$ it is a minimal cut set.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) By Proposition 13.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) We observe that $G_{3}$ contains only one 2-cut set, namely $\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}\right\}$. Since the multiplicities of $v_{2}$ and $v_{6}$ are at least two, this set does not yield a 2-cut of $G$.

### 3.2. Equimatchable claw-free odd graphs with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=2$

Throughout this section, $G$ is an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=2, I$ is an independent set with three vertices, and $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ is a (minimal) cut set of $G$. Recall that, by Corollary $2, G$ is factor-critical, and note that since $G$ is connected and $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, we have $n \geq 4$. Our starting point is the following result on 2-connected equimatchable factor-critical graphs.

Lemma 15 ([5]). Let G be a 2-connected, equimatchable factor-critical graph with at least 4 vertices and $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ be a minimal cut set of $G$. Then $G \backslash S$ has precisely two components, one of them even and the other odd. Let $A_{S}$ and $B_{S}$ denote the even and odd components of $G \backslash S$, respectively. Let $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ be two distinct vertices of $A_{S}$ adjacent to $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, respectively, and, if $\left|B_{S}\right|>1$, let $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ be two distinct vertices of $B_{S}$ adjacent to $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, respectively. Then the following hold:

1. The subgraph $B_{s}$ is one of the four graphs $K_{2 p+1}, K_{2 p+1}-b_{1} b_{2}, K_{p, p+1}, K_{p, p+1}+b_{1} b_{2}$ for some $p \geq 1$. In the last two cases, all neighbors of $S$ in $B_{S}$ belong to the larger part of the bipartition of $K_{p, p+1}$.
2. The subgraph $A_{S} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is connected and randomly matchable, and if $\left|B_{S}\right|>1$, then $A_{S}$ is connected and randomly matchable.

In the rest of this section, $A_{S}, B_{S}$ denote the even and odd connected components of $G \backslash S$, respectively, and $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A_{S}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B_{S}$ are as described in Lemma 15 . We note that the vertices $a_{1}, a_{2}$ exist, since otherwise $A_{S}$ contains a cut vertex of $G$.


Fig. 1. The structure of 2-connected equimatchable claw-free odd graphs by Lemma 15.

Similarly, if $\left|B_{S}\right| \geq 3$, the vertices $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ exist. Moreover, let $A_{S}^{\prime}=A_{S} \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$, and $B_{S}^{\prime}=B_{S} \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ whenever $\left|B_{S}\right|>1$ (see Fig. 1). The minimal cut set $S$ is independent if $s_{1} s_{2} \notin E(G)$, and strongly independent if there exists an independent set $I$ with three vertices including $S$.

An important consequence of Section 3.1 which will guide our proofs is the following:
Corollary 16 (of Lemma 14). Let $G$ be an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$. If $\kappa(G)=2$, then it has a strongly independent 2-cut.

Proof. Since $\kappa(G)=2$, by Lemma 14, there exists an independent 3-cut $I$ that is not a minimal cut set, i.e. I contains a minimal 2-cut $S \subseteq I$. Moreover, since $S \subseteq I, S$ is strongly independent.

The main result of this section is that $G$ is either a $C_{7}$ or in one of the following graph families. The reader is referred to Fig. 2d-f for these definitions.

Definition 2. A graph is in $\mathcal{G}_{21}$ if its vertex set can be partitioned into $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ such that
(i) $V_{1}$ induces a $K_{2 q+1}$ for some $q \geq 1$,
(ii) $V_{2}$ induces a $C_{4}$, say $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}$,
(iii) $N_{V_{1}}\left(v_{1}\right)=N_{V_{1}}\left(v_{2}\right)$,
(iv) $2 \leq\left|N_{V_{1}}\left(v_{1}\right)\right|<\left|V_{1}\right|$, and
(v) $N_{V_{1}}\left(v_{3}\right)=N_{V_{1}}\left(v_{4}\right)=\emptyset$.

A graph is in $\mathcal{G}_{22}$ if it has an independent 2-cut $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ such that
(i) $A_{S}$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$,
(ii) $B_{S}$ is a $K_{2 q+1}$ for some $q \geq 0$,
(iii) $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are complete to $B_{S}$,
(iv) $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right) \subsetneq A_{S}$, and
(v) $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cap N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

A graph is in $\mathcal{G}_{23}$ if it has an independent 2-cut $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ such that
(i) $A_{S}$ is a $K_{2}$,
(ii) $G\left[S \cup A_{S}\right]$ is a $P_{4}$,
(iii) $B_{S}$ is a $K_{2 q+1}$ for some $q \geq 1$, and
(iv) $N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)=B_{S}, N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset, N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, either $N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq B_{S}$ or $N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right) \neq B_{S}$.

We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}_{21}=\left\{G_{21}(1,1,1,1, x, 2 q+1-x) \mid 2 \leq x \leq 2 q\right\} \\
& \mathcal{G}_{22}=\left\{G_{22}(2 p-x-y, x, y, 1,1,2 q+1) \mid q \geq 0, x, y \geq 1, x+y \leq 2 p-1\right\} \\
& \mathcal{G}_{23}=\left\{G_{23}(1,1,1,1, x, y, 2 q+1-x-y) \mid 1 \leq x+y \leq 2 q+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{21}, G_{22}, G_{23}$ are the graphs depicted in Fig. 2d, e and f, respectively. It can be noticed that the vertices $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are not identified in $G_{21}$ of Fig. 2e since the vertices playing the roles of $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ will depend on the case under analysis for this family.

Proposition 17. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}+C_{7}$, then $G$ is a connected equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=2$.

Proof. All the other properties being easily verifiable, we will check the equimatchability of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}+C_{7}$ by using Lemma 7. One can observe that in each case, there is only one possible type (up to isomorphisms) of independent set $I$ of three vertices which is as described below.

If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21}$ then $I$ consists of $v_{1}, v_{3}$ and a vertex in $V_{1}$. Then $G \backslash I$ consists of one component with the single vertex $v_{4}$ and the other $G \backslash\left(I+v_{4}\right)$ which is odd.

If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{22}$ then $I$ consists of $s_{1}, s_{2}$ and a vertex $a \in A_{S} \backslash\left(N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)\right)$. Then $G \backslash I$ consists of two odd components, namely $B_{S}$ and $A_{S}-a$.

If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{23}$ then $I=\left\{a_{1}, s_{2}, b\right\}$ where $b \in B_{S} \backslash N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)$ (assuming without loss of generality that $x>0$ ). Then $G \backslash I$ consists of two odd components: the singleton $\left\{a_{2}\right\}$ and $G \backslash\left(I+a_{2}\right)$ which is odd.

Finally, if $G$ is a $C_{7}$, then for any independent set $I$ of three vertices, the graph $G \backslash I$ consists of two singletons and two adjacent vertices.

In the rest of this section, we proceed as follows to prove the other direction: In Proposition 18, we analyze the case where $A_{S}$ is a $C_{4}$ for some 2-cut $S$. Subsequently, in Observation 19 we summarize Lemma 15 for the case where $A_{S}$ is not a $C_{4}$, and $\left|B_{S}\right|>1$ where $S$ is an independent 2-cut. We further separate this case into two. In Proposition 20, we give the exact structure of $G$ when $B_{S}$ is neither a singleton nor a $P_{3}$. In Proposition 21, we give the exact structure of $G$ when $B_{S}$ is a $P_{3}$. We complete the analysis in Proposition 22, which determines the exact structure of $G$ in the last case, i.e. when $A_{S}$ is not a $C_{4}$ and $\left|B_{S}\right|=1$. In the proofs of Propositions 20-22, we heavily use the fact that the graph under consideration has a strongly independent 2-cut S. Moreover, this fact will allows us to conclude in Theorem 26 that we cover all possible cases for claw-free equimatchable odd graphs of connectivity 2.

Proposition 18. If $A_{S}$ is a $C_{4}$ for some 2-cut $S$ of $G$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21}$ and $S$ is not independent.
Proof. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ be a 2 -cut of $G$, and $A_{S}$ be a 4-cycle. In what follows, we show that $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21}$ by setting $V_{2}=A_{S}$ and $V_{1}=V(G) \backslash V_{2}=S \cup B_{S}$. Since $A_{S}$ is a 4-cycle, Property (ii) of $\mathcal{G}_{21}$ holds for $G$. Let $A_{S}$ be the 4-cycle $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}$. By Lemma 9(iii), both $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)$ and $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)$ consist of two adjacent vertices of $V_{2}$. If $N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{2}\right)$, then $N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{2}\right)$ contains two non-adjacent vertices $x, y$ such that $x \in N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{1}\right)$, and $y \in N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{2}\right)$. Then the matching $\left\{s_{1} x, s_{2} y\right\}$ isolates the two vertices of $V_{2} \backslash\{x, y\}$, contradicting Lemma 6(ii). Therefore, $N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{1}\right)=N_{V_{2}}\left(s_{2}\right)$ and it consists of two adjacent vertices of $V_{2}$, say $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Since $S$ is a cut set, the neighbors of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in $V_{1}$ are exactly $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, thus showing (iii) and the first inequality of (iv). The second part of the inequality follows from the fact that $S$ is a cut-set and $V_{1} \backslash S=B_{S} \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, (v) holds since $S \subsetneq V_{1}$ is a cut set and the neighborhood of $S$ in $V_{2}$ consists of $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$.

It remains to show Property (i), i.e. that $V_{1}$ is an odd clique. Observe that $s_{1} s_{2} \in E(G)$ since otherwise $S+v_{2}+v_{3}$ forms a claw. Thus, $S$ is not independent. The matching $\left\{v_{1} v_{4}, v_{2} s_{2}\right\}$ leaves the singleton $v_{3}$ as an odd component. Therefore, by Lemma 6 (ii) and (iii), $G\left[V_{1}-s_{2}\right]$ is randomly matchable which is either an even clique or a $C_{4}$ by Proposition 4 . Suppose that $G\left[V_{1}-s_{2}\right]$ is the cycle $s_{1} b_{1} b b_{3}$. We have that $N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)=\left\{b_{1}, b_{3}\right\}$ is not a clique, contradicting Lemma 9(ii). Therefore, $G\left[V_{1}-s_{2}\right]$ is a $K_{2 q}$ for some $q \geq 1$. By symmetry, $G\left[V_{1}-s_{1}\right]$ is also a $K_{2 q}$. Since $s_{1} s_{2} \in E(G)$, we conclude that $G\left[V_{1}\right]$ is a $K_{2 q+1}$ for some $q \geq 1$.

Observation 19. If $S$ is an independent 2-cut of $G$ and $\left|B_{S}\right|>1$, then
(i) The subgraph $G\left[A_{S}\right]$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$, and
(ii) The subgraph $G\left[B_{S}\right]$ is either a $K_{2 q+1}$, or $K_{2 q+1}-b_{1} b_{2}$ for some $q \geq 1$.

## Proof.

(i) By Lemma $15, A_{S}$ is connected and randomly matchable. By Proposition $18, A_{S}$ is not a $C_{4}$. Then, by Proposition $4, A_{S}$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$.
(ii) Recall Lemma 15. In this case, $B_{S}$ cannot be a $K_{q, q+1}$ or $K_{q, q+1}+b_{1} b_{2}$ for $q \geq 2$ since otherwise (recalling that $b_{1}$ is in the larger part of the bipartition) $s_{1}, b_{1}$ and two vertices adjacent to $b_{1}$ in the smaller part of the bipartition of $B_{S}$ induce a claw. For $q=1$ we note that $K_{1,2}=K_{3}-e$ and $K_{1,2}+e=K_{3}$. Therefore, $B_{S}$ is either a $K_{2 q+1}$, or a $K_{2 q+1}-b_{1} b_{2}$ for some $q \geq 1$.

Proposition 20. If there exists a strongly independent 2-cut $S$ of $G$ such that $\left|B_{S}\right|>1$ and $B_{S}$ is not a $P_{3}$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{22}$.
Proof. We now show that $G$ has all the properties of $\mathcal{G}_{22}$. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ be a strongly independent 2-cut of $G$, and $I$ be an independent set of three vertices containing $S$.

The fact that $A_{S}$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$ (Property (i)) follows from Observation 19.
By the same observation and since $B_{S}$ is not a $P_{3}, B_{S}$ is either a $K_{2 q+1}$ for some $q \geq 1$ or a $K_{2 q+1}-b_{1} b_{2}$ for some $q \geq 2$, thus 2-connected. Note that the unique vertex in $I \backslash S$ is not in $B_{S}$, since otherwise $G \backslash I$ consists of two even components. Therefore $I=S+a$ for some $a \in A_{S}$. This implies that $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right) \subsetneq A_{S}$, thus Property (iv) is verified.

Now suppose that there exists a vertex $b \in B_{S}$ that is non-adjacent to $s_{1}$. Since both $A_{S}$ and $B_{S}$ are 2-connected, both of $A_{S}-a$ and $B_{S}-b$ are connected. Moreover, $s_{2}$ is adjacent to $A_{S}-a$. Then $I^{\prime}=\left\{a, s_{1}, b\right\}$ is an independent set such that $G \backslash I^{\prime}$

(a) The graph $G_{11}$


$$
\mathcal{G}_{12}=\left\{G_{12}\left(x, 2 p-x, 1,2 p^{\prime}-x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \mid 1 \leq x \leq 2 p-1,1 \leq x^{\prime} \leq 2 p^{\prime}-1\right\}
$$

(b) The graph $G_{12}$.


$$
\mathcal{G}_{21}=\left\{G_{21}(1,1,1,1, x, 2 q+1-x) \mid 2 \leq x \leq 2 q\right\}
$$

(d) The graph $G_{21}$.

$\mathcal{G}_{23}=\left\{G_{23}(1,1,1,1, x, y, 2 q+1-x-y) \mid 1 \leq x+y \leq 2 q+1\right\}$
(f) The graph $G_{23}$.


$$
\mathcal{G}_{13}=\left\{G_{13}(x, 2 p-x, 1,1,1,1,1) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 2 p-1\right\}
$$

(c) The graph $G_{13}$.


$$
\mathcal{G}_{22}=\left\{G_{22}(2 p-x-y, x, y, 1,1,2 q+1) \mid q \geq 0, x, y \geq 1, x+y \leq 2 p-1\right\}
$$

(e) The graph $G_{22}$


$$
\mathcal{G}_{3}=\left\{G_{3}(1,2 p, 1,1,1,2 q, 1) \mid p, q \geq 1\right\}
$$

(g) The graph $G_{3}$.

Fig. 2. The graph $G_{11}$.
is either connected or consists of two even components $A_{S}-a+s_{2}$ and $B_{S}-b$, contradicting Lemma 7. We conclude that $s_{1}$, and by symmetry $s_{2}$, are complete to $B_{s}$. This proves Property (iii).

Since $s_{1}$ is complete to $B_{S}, B_{S}$ is a clique by Lemma 9(ii). This shows Property (ii).
Finally, Property (v) follows from Property (iv) and Lemma 9(iv).
Proposition 21. If there exists some strongly independent 2-cut $S$ of $G$ such that $B_{S}$ is a $P_{3}$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{23}+C_{7}$.
Proof. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ be a strongly independent 2-cut of $G$. We now show that $G$ is either a $C_{7}$ or has the following properties:
(i) The subgraph $A_{S}$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$,
(ii) The subgraph $G\left[S \cup B_{S}\right]$ is a $P_{5}$,
(iii) $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)=N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)=A_{S}$.

Then, we will show that these properties imply that $G \in \mathcal{G}_{23}$ with zero copies of $v_{7}$ and an independent 2-cut different from $S$.
(i) Follows from Observation 19.
(ii) The subgraph $G\left[B_{S}\right]$ is a path $b_{1}^{\prime} b^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime}$. If $s_{1}$ is not adjacent to any of $b_{1}^{\prime}$ and $b_{2}^{\prime}$ then $s_{1}$ is adjacent to $b^{\prime}$ and $B+s_{1}$ is a claw. Therefore, without loss of generality $s_{1}$ is adjacent $b_{1}^{\prime}$. If $s_{1} b_{2}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $N_{B}\left(s_{1}\right)$ is not a clique, contradicting Lemma 9(ii). Therefore, $s_{1} b_{2}^{\prime} \notin E(G)$. Let $a$ be an arbitrary element of $A_{S}-a_{2}$. Clearly, $A_{S}-a+s_{2}$ is connected. If $s_{1} b^{\prime} \in E(G)$ then $I=\left\{a, b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an independent set such that $G \backslash I$ is either connected or has two even components. Therefore, $s_{1} b^{\prime} \notin E(G)$, concluding that $N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)=\left\{b_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$. Symmetrically, we have $N_{B_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)=\left\{b_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. Therefore, $b_{1}^{\prime}=b_{1}$ and $b_{2}^{\prime}=b_{2}$, thus $S \cup B_{S}$ induces the $P_{5}=s_{1} b_{1} b b_{2} s_{2}$.
(iii) Recall that $A_{S}^{\prime}=A_{S}-a_{1}-a_{2}$. First assume that $A_{S}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, suppose that there is some $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime}$ not adjacent to $s_{1}$. Then $I^{\prime}=\left\{s_{1}, a^{\prime}, b_{2}\right\}$ is an independent set and $G \backslash I^{\prime}$ has two even components, contradicting Lemma 7 . Therefore, $s_{1}$ is complete to $A_{S}^{\prime}$ and symmetrically so is $s_{2}$. Now suppose that $s_{1} a_{2} \notin E(G)$, and consider the independent set $I^{\prime \prime}=\left\{s_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}\right\}$. Then, $G \backslash I^{\prime \prime}$ has two even components, contradicting Lemma 7. Therefore, $s_{1} a_{2} \in E(G)$, and symmetrically $s_{2} a_{1} \in E(G)$. We conclude that $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)=N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)=A_{S}$. Now assume that $A_{S}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, i.e. $A_{S}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$. Then $a_{1} a_{2} s_{2} b_{2} b b_{1} s_{1}$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $G$. The edge set of $G$ possibly contains one or both of the edges $a_{1} s_{2}, a_{2} s_{1}$. If both are edges of $G$, then $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)=N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)=A_{S}$ and we are done. If none is an edge of $G$, then $G$ is a $C_{7}$. We remain with the case that exactly one of $a_{1} s_{2}, a_{2} s_{1}$, say $a_{1} s_{2}$ is an edge of $G$. In this case $\left\{a_{2}, s_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ is an independent set whose removal separates $G$ into two even components, contradicting Lemma 7.

We now observe that the above properties imply $G \in \mathcal{G}_{23}$. Indeed, let $S^{\prime}$ be the independent set $\left\{s_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$, and verify the properties of $\mathcal{G}_{23}$ : (i) $A_{S^{\prime}}=\left\{b, b_{1}\right\}$ is a $K_{2}$, (ii) $G\left[S^{\prime} \cup A_{S^{\prime}}\right]=G\left[\left\{s_{1}, b_{2}, b, b_{1}\right\}\right]$ is the $P_{4}=s_{1} b_{1} b b_{2}$, (iii) $B_{S^{\prime}}=A_{S}+s_{2}$ is an odd clique since $A_{S}$ is an even clique and $s_{2}$ is complete to it, (iv) $s_{1}$ is complete to $A_{S}$ and $b_{2}$ is adjacent to $s_{2}$, thus $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cup N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(b_{2}\right)=B_{S^{\prime}}$ and $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(s_{1}\right), N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(b_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, furthermore $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq B_{S^{\prime}}$ since $s_{1} s_{2} \notin E(G)$.

Proposition 22. If for every 2-cut $S$ of $G$ the component $A_{S}$ is not a $C_{4}$, and for every strongly independent 2-cut $S$ of $G$ the component $B_{S}$ consists of a single vertex, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}$.

Proof. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ be a strongly independent 2-cut of $G$. We remark that in this case we cannot use Observation 19 . Moreover, the only fact that we can deduce from Lemma 15 is that $A_{S}^{\prime}$ is randomly matchable, a fact that is easily observed by applying Lemma 6 to the matching $\left\{s_{1} a_{1}, s_{2} a_{2}\right\}$.

We first observe that there are no 2 -connected claw-free graphs on at most 5 vertices with an independent set of three vertices. Therefore, we can assume that $|V(G)|>5$, i.e. that $A_{S}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.

We proceed with the proof by considering two disjoint cases.

- $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right)=N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ : In this case we will show that $G$ has all the properties of $\mathcal{G}_{22}$. Properties (ii), (iii), (iv) clearly hold for $G$. If $A_{S}^{\prime}$ is a $C_{4}$, then $S^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is a 2 -cut with $A_{S^{\prime}}$ being a $C_{4}$, contradicting our assumptions. Therefore, $A_{S}^{\prime}$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$. If $a_{1} s_{2} \in E(G)$, then $s_{1}, s_{2}, a_{1}$ and any neighbor of $a_{1}$ in $A_{S}^{\prime}$ induce a claw, contradiction. Therefore, and using symmetry, we have that $a_{1} s_{2}, a_{2} s_{1} \notin E(G)$, i.e. Property (v) holds. It remains to show that $A_{S}$ is a clique. If $a_{1} a_{2} \notin E(G)$, then $S^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is a strongly independent cut with $B_{S^{\prime}}$ being a $P_{3}$, contradicting our assumptions. Therefore, $a_{1} a_{2} \in E(G)$. We now show that $A_{S}$ is a clique by proving that $a_{1}$ is complete to $A_{S}^{\prime}$, and so is $a_{2}$ by symmetry. We first observe that $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(a_{1}\right) \subseteq N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(a_{2}\right)$. Indeed, otherwise there is a vertex $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime}$ adjacent to $a_{1}$ and not adjacent to $a_{2}$, and $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, s_{1}, a^{\prime}\right\}$ induces a claw. By symmetry, we get $N_{A_{s}^{\prime}}\left(a_{1}\right)=N_{A_{s}^{\prime}}\left(a_{2}\right)$. This neighborhood has at least two vertices since otherwise $\kappa(G)=1$ where the unique common neighbor of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ is a cut vertex. Now, suppose that $a_{1}$ is not complete to $A_{s}^{\prime}$ and let $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime}$ be non-adjacent to $a_{1}$. Then $I^{\prime}=\left\{a^{\prime}, a_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ is an independent set. Furthermore, $G \backslash I^{\prime}$ consists of two even components, a contradiction to Lemma 7. Therefore, $a_{1}$ is complete to $A_{S}^{\prime}$, and so is $a_{2}$ by symmetry.
- $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$ : We start by showing that $A_{1}=A_{S}^{\prime}+a_{1}$ is a clique. Let $a_{1}^{\prime} \in N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right)$ and apply Lemma 6 to the matching $\left\{s_{1} a_{1}^{\prime}, s_{2} a_{2}\right\}$. It implies that $G\left[A_{S}^{\prime}+a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is randomly matchable. Suppose that $G\left[A_{S}^{\prime}+a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is a $C_{4}=a_{1} a_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}^{\prime} a_{4}^{\prime}$. Then $a_{1} a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime} a_{4}^{\prime} \notin E(G)$. Then $A_{S}^{\prime}$ is not a clique, thus it is the $C_{4}=a_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}^{\prime} a_{4}^{\prime}$. By Lemma $9($ iii $), N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right)$ consists of two adjacent vertices of $A_{S}^{\prime}$, namely $a_{1}^{\prime}$ and without loss of generality $a_{2}^{\prime}$. Now, Lemma 6 applied to the matching $\left\{s_{1} a_{2}^{\prime}, s_{2} a_{2}\right\}$ implies that $G\left[\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{4}^{\prime}\right\}\right]$ is randomly matchable. However, $a_{1}^{\prime} a_{3}^{\prime} \notin E(G)$ and $a_{4}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to all three vertices $a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}$ and $a_{3}^{\prime}$, thus, $G\left[\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{4}^{\prime}\right\}\right]$ is neither a $C_{4}$ nor a clique, a contradiction. Therefore, $G\left[A_{S}^{\prime}+a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is a clique and consequently $A_{S}^{\prime}$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$. This implies that $G\left[A_{S}^{\prime}+a_{1}-a^{\prime}\right]$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right)$, i.e. $a_{1}$ is complete to $A_{S}^{\prime}-a^{\prime}$. Moreover, $a_{1}$ is adjacent to $a^{\prime}$ since $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{1}\right)$ is a clique. We conclude that $a_{1}$ is complete to $A_{S}^{\prime}$, i.e. that $A_{1}$ is a clique.

Recall that $S$ is strongly independent. The unique vertex of $I \backslash S$ is some $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime} \subseteq A_{1}$. By Lemma 9(iv), $N_{A_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \cap N_{A_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right)=$ $\emptyset$. In particular, $a_{1} s_{2} \notin E(G)$. It remains to determine the neighborhoods of $a_{2}$ and $s_{2}$. We proceed by considering two disjoint cases regarding the neighborhood of $s_{2}$.

- $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ : In this case, we will show that $G$ has all the properties of $\mathcal{G}_{22}$. Properties (ii) and (iii) are trivial. Since the third vertex of $I$ is some $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime}$, Property (iv) holds, too. It suffices to show that (i) will hold, namely that $A_{S}$ is a clique. By Lemma 9(iv), this implies Property (v).

Suppose that $a_{2}$ is not complete to $A_{1}$, and let $a$ be an arbitrary vertex of $A_{1}$ that is not adjacent to $a_{2}$. Then $I^{\prime}=\left\{a, a_{2}, b\right\}$, where $b$ is the single vertex of the component $B_{S}$, is an independent set, and $a s_{2} \notin E(G)$ since $N_{A_{S}}\left(s_{2}\right)$ is a clique by Lemma 9 (ii). Since $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset, G \backslash I^{\prime}$ is connected, a contradiction. Therefore, $a_{2}$ is complete to $A_{1}$, concluding that $A_{S}$ is a clique.

- $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{2}\right)=\emptyset:$ We first assume that $s_{1} a_{2} \notin E(G)$. In this case, we claim that for all $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime}$ such that $s_{1} a^{\prime} \notin E(G)$, $a_{2}$ is adjacent to $a^{\prime}$. Indeed, if $a_{2} a^{\prime} \notin E(G)$ for such a vertex $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime}$ then $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is a strongly independent 2-cut (contained by the independent set $\left\{s_{1}, a_{2}, a^{\prime}\right\}$ ) with $\left|B_{S^{\prime}}\right| \geq 3$, a contradiction to the assumption of this proposition. So, assume in what follows that $a_{2}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $A_{S}^{\prime} \backslash N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right)$. Now, we will show that $G$ has all the properties of $\mathcal{G}_{23}$ using the independent 2-cut $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$. Properties (i), (ii), and (iii) are trivial since in this case $A_{S^{\prime}}=\left\{s_{2}, b\right\}$ and $B_{S^{\prime}}=A_{1}$. We now show Property (iv). Since $a_{2}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $A_{S}^{\prime} \backslash N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right)$ and $s_{1} a_{1} \in E(G)$, we have that $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(a_{2}\right) \cup N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(s_{1}\right)=B_{S^{\prime}}$. Moreover, $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$ since $s_{1} a_{1} \in E(G)$. Finally, since $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ is a strongly independent 2-cut, there is a vertex $a^{\prime} \in A_{S}^{\prime} \subseteq A_{1}$ which is not adjacent to $s_{1}$ and consequently $a_{2} a^{\prime} \in E(G)$ implying that $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(a_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $N_{B_{S^{\prime}}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq B_{S^{\prime}}$.
Now assume that $s_{1} a_{2} \in E(G)$. In this case, we set $V_{1}=A_{1}$ and show that $G$ has all the properties of $\mathcal{G}_{21}$. Property (i) holds since $A_{1}$ is a clique, and (ii) holds since $V(G) \backslash A_{1}$ is the cycle $s_{1} a_{2} s_{2} b$. Property (v) holds since $b$ and $s_{2}$ do not have neighbors in $A_{1}$. We now show that (iii) holds. $N_{A_{1}}\left(a_{2}\right) \subseteq N_{A_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right)$ since otherwise $a_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}$ and a fourth vertex that is adjacent to $a_{2}$ and non-adjacent to $s_{1}$ form a claw. Furthermore, $N_{A_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \subseteq N_{A_{1}}\left(a_{2}\right)$ since otherwise $s_{1}, a_{2}, b$ and a fourth vertex adjacent to $s_{1}$ and non-adjacent to $a_{2}$ form a claw. We now proceed to Property (iv). Since $N_{A_{S}^{\prime}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $s_{1} a_{1} \in E(G)$, we have $\left|N_{A_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Moreover, $N_{A_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \neq A_{1}$ since otherwise $\alpha(G)=2$. This concludes the proof.

Let us summarize the results of this section in the following:
Proposition 23. If $G$ is an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=2$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}+C_{7}$.
Proof. Let $S$ be a 2 -cut of $G$. By Lemma 15, $G \backslash S$ consists of an even component $A_{S}$ and an odd component $B_{S}$. Proposition 18 proves that if for some 2 -cut $S$ we have that $A_{S}$ is a $C_{4}$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21}$. In what follows we assume that for every 2 -cut $S$ of $G$, $A_{S}$ is not a $C_{4}$.

By Corollary 16, G contains a strongly independent 2-cut. We consider the set $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ of all the strongly independent (minimal) 2-cuts, and consider the following disjoint and complementing subcases:

- There exists some $S^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left|B_{S^{\prime}}\right|>1$ and $B_{S^{\prime}}$ is not a $P_{3}$. In this case by Proposition $20, G \in \mathcal{G}_{22}$.
- There exists some $S^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $B_{S^{\prime}}$ is a $P_{3}$. In this case, by Proposition $21, G$ is either a $C_{7}$ or a graph of $\mathcal{G}_{23}$.
- $\left|B_{S^{\prime}}\right|=1$ for every $S^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$. In this case, by Proposition 22, we have that $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}$.


### 3.3. Equimatchable claw-free odd graphs with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=1$

Let us finally consider equimatchable claw-free odd graphs with independence number at least 3 and connectivity 1 . We will show that these graphs fall into the following family.

Definition 3. Graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ if it has a cut vertex $v$ where $G-v$ consists of two connected components $G_{1}, G_{2}$ such that for $i \in\{1,2\}$
(i) Component $G_{i}$ is either an even clique or a $C_{4}$.
(ii) If $G_{i}$ is a $C_{4}$, then $N_{G_{i}}(v)$ consists of two adjacent vertices of $G_{i}$.
(iii) If both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are cliques, then $v$ has at least one non-neighbor in each one of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$.

We note that $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left\{G_{11}\right\} \cup \mathcal{G}_{12} \cup \mathcal{G}_{13}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}_{12}=\left\{G_{12}\left(x, 2 p-x, 1,2 p^{\prime}-x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \mid 1 \leq x \leq 2 p-1,1 \leq x^{\prime} \leq 2 p^{\prime}-1\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{G}_{13}=\left\{G_{13}(x, 2 p-x, 1,1,1,1,1) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 2 p-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}$ are the graphs depicted in Fig. 2a, b and c, respectively.
Proposition 24. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$, then $G$ is a connected equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=1$.
Proof. All the other properties being easily verifiable, we will only show that $G$ is equimatchable using Lemma 7. Note that $V\left(G_{i}\right) \backslash N(v)$ is a non-empty clique where $v$ is a cut vertex of $G$. Therefore, every independent set $I$ with three vertices containing $v$ has exactly one vertex from every $G_{i}$. In this case, $G \backslash I$ has two odd components. An independent set $I^{\prime}$ with three vertices that does not contain $v$ must contain two non-adjacent vertices of a $C_{4}$ and one vertex from the other component. Then one vertex of that $C_{4}$ is isolated in $G \backslash I^{\prime}$. Let $v^{\prime}$ be the unique vertex of $I^{\prime}$ in the other component $G_{i}$. If $v$ is a cut vertex of $G$ (which happens when $G_{i}$ is an even clique and $N_{G_{i}}(v)=\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$ ), then $G_{i}-v^{\prime}$ constitutes a second odd connected component of $G \backslash I^{\prime}$; otherwise, $G \backslash I^{\prime}$ consists of two connected components and they are both odd.

Proposition 25. If $G$ is an equimatchable claw-free odd graph with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and $\kappa(G)=1$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$.
Proof. By Lemma 9(i), every cut vertex of $G$ separates it into two connected components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. From parity considerations, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are either both even or both odd. We consider two complementing cases:

- Graph $G$ has a cut vertex $v$ such that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are even. Let $u$ be a vertex of $G_{1}$ adjacent to $v$. Considering the matching $M$ consisting of the single edge $u v$ and applying Lemma 6(iii), we conclude that $G_{2}$ is randomly matchable, i.e., either an even clique or a $C_{4}$ by Proposition 4. By symmetry, the same holds for $G_{1}$; thus, (i) in Definition 3 holds. Assume that $G_{i}$ is a $C_{4}$ for some $i \in\{1,2\}$. Then, by Lemma 9 (iii), $v$ is adjacent to exactly two adjacent vertices of $G_{i}$; thus, (ii) in Definition 3 holds. Finally, since $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, (iii) in Definition 3 also holds.
- Every cut vertex $v$ of $G$ separates it into two odd components. We will conclude the proof by showing that this case is not possible. No two cut vertices of $G$ are adjacent, since otherwise one of them disconnects $G$ into two even components. Let $v$ be a cut vertex, $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the connected components of $G-v$, and $u_{1}$ be a neighbor of $v$ in $G_{1}$. Applying Lemma 6 (iii) to the matching consisting of the single edge $u_{1} v$, we conclude that $G_{1}-u_{1}$ is randomly matchable. Then, either $G_{1}-u_{1}$ is connected, or by Lemma $9(\mathrm{i}), G_{1}-u_{1}$ has exactly two connected components. Moreover, since $u_{1}$ is not a cut vertex of $G, v$ has a neighbor in each of these components. If there are two such components, the neighbors of $v$ in these components do not form a clique, contradicting Lemma 9(ii). Therefore, $G_{1}-u_{1}$ is connected, and by Proposition 4 we conclude that it is either a $C_{4}$ or an even clique.
Suppose that $G_{1}-u_{1}$ is a $C_{4}$, say $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4}$. By Lemma 9 (iii), $N_{G_{1}-u_{1}}(v)$ consists of two adjacent vertices, say $w_{1}, w_{2}$. Consider the matching $M=\left\{v w_{1}, w_{2} w_{3}\right\} . V(M)$ disconnects $\left\{u_{1}, w_{4}\right\}$ from $G$. If $u_{1}$ and $w_{4}$ are non-adjacent, they contradict Lemma 6 (ii). Therefore, $u_{1}$ is adjacent to $w_{4}$. Now the matching $M=\left\{v w_{2}, u_{1} w_{4}\right\}$ disconnects the vertices $w_{1}$ and $w_{3}$ from $G$ and leaves two odd components, contradicting Lemma 6(ii). Hence, we conclude that $G_{1}-u_{1}$ cannot be a $C_{4}$ and therefore has to be an even clique.
We now show that $u_{1}$ is complete to $G_{1}-u_{1}$. Suppose that there exists a vertex $z$ of $G_{1}-u_{1}$ that is non-adjacent to $u_{1}$. Then $z$ is non-adjacent to $v$ since otherwise $v$ has two non-adjacent vertices, namely $u_{1}$ and $z$, in its neighborhood in $G_{1}$, a contradiction by Lemma 9(ii). Let $z^{\prime}$ be a vertex of $G_{1}-u_{1}$ that is adjacent to $v$. Recall that such a vertex exists since $u_{1}$ is not a cut vertex of $G$, and clearly, $z \neq z^{\prime}$. Now consider the matching consisting of the edge $v z^{\prime}$ and a perfect matching of the even clique $G_{1} \backslash\left\{u_{1}, z, z^{\prime}\right\}$. This matching leaves $u_{1}$ and $z$ as two odd components, a contradiction by Lemma 6(ii). Therefore, $G_{1}$ is an odd clique, and $v$ is adjacent to at least two vertices (namely, $u_{1}$ and $z^{\prime}$ ) of $G_{1}$. By symmetry, the same holds for $G_{2}$.
Since $\alpha(G) \geq 3, v$ is not adjacent to some vertex $w_{1}$ of $G_{1}$ and some vertex $w_{2}$ of $G_{2}$. Then $S=\left\{v, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ is an independent set of $G$ and $G \backslash S$ consists of two even components, contradicting Lemma 7 .


## 4. Summary and recognition algorithm

In this section we summarize our results in Theorem 26 and use it to develop an efficient recognition algorithm.
Theorem 26. A graph $G$ is a connected claw-free equimatchable graph if and only if one of the following holds:
(i) $G$ is a $C_{4}$.
(ii) $G$ is a $K_{2 p}$ for some $p \geq 1$.
(iii) $G$ is odd and $\alpha(G) \leq 2$.
(iv) $G \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$.
(v) $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}+C_{7}$.
(vi) $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$.

Proof. One direction follows from Proposition 4, Lemma 5 and Propositions 24, 17 and 10 in the order of the items from ( $i$ ) to $(v i)$. We proceed with the other direction. Let $G$ be an equimatchable claw-free graph. If $G$ is even, then by Proposition 4 , it is either a $C_{4}$ or an even clique. It remains to show that if $G$ is odd and $\alpha(G) \geq 3$, then $G$ is either a $C_{7}$ or in one of the families $\mathcal{G}_{1}, \mathcal{G}_{21}, \mathcal{G}_{22}, \mathcal{G}_{23}, \mathcal{G}_{3}$. If $\kappa(G)=1$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ by Proposition 25. If $\kappa(G)=2$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{21} \cup \mathcal{G}_{22} \cup \mathcal{G}_{23}+C_{7}$ by Proposition 23 . If $\kappa(G)=3$, then $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$ by Proposition 13.

The recognition problem of claw-free equimatchable graphs is clearly polynomial since each one of the properties can be tested in polynomial time. Equimatchable graphs can be recognized in time $\mathcal{O}(m \bar{m})$ (see [2]), where $m$ (respectively $\bar{m}$ ) is the number of edges (respectively non-edges) of the graph. Claw-free graphs can be recognized in $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{\frac{\omega+1}{2}}\right)$ time, where $\omega$ is the exponent of the matrix multiplication complexity (see [14]). The currently best exponent for matrix multiplication is $\omega \approx 2.37286$ (see [15]), yielding an overall complexity of $\mathcal{O}\left(m\left(\bar{m}+m^{0.687}\right)\right)$.

We now show that our characterization yields a more efficient recognition algorithm.

```
Algorithm 1 Claw-free equimatchable graph recognition
Require: A graph \(G\).
    if \(G\) is even then
        return ( \(G\) is a clique or \(G\) is a \(C_{4}\) ).
    if \(\bar{G}\) is triangle free then return true.
    if \(G\) is a \(C_{7}\) or \(G\) is a \(G_{11}\) then return true.
    Compute the unique twin-free graph \(H\) and multiplicities \(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\) such that \(G=H\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\).
    if \(H\) is isomorphic to neither one of \(G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{21}, G_{22}, G_{23}, G_{3}\) nor to a relevant subgraph of it then
        return false
    else
        let \(H\) be isomorphic to \(G_{x}\) for some \(x \in\{12,13,21,22,23,3\}\) or to a relevant subgraph of it.
    return true if and only if \(\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\) matches the multiplicity pattern in the definition of \(\mathcal{G}_{x}\).
```

Corollary 27. Algorithm 1 can recognize equimatchable claw-free graphs in time $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{1.407}\right)$.
Proof. The correctness of Algorithm 1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 26. As for its time complexity, Step 2 can be clearly performed in linear time. Step 3 can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{\frac{2 \omega}{\omega+1}}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(m^{1.407}\right)$ (see [1]).

For every graph $G$ there is a unique twin-free graph $H$ and a unique vector ( $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$ ) of vertex multiplicities such that $G=H\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$. The graph $H$ and the vector $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ can be computed from $G$ in linear time using partition refinement, i.e. starting from the trivial partition consisting of one set, and iteratively refining this partition using the closed neighborhoods of the vertices (see [9]). Each set of the resulting partition constitutes a set of twins. Therefore, Step 5 can be performed in linear time.

We now note that for some values of $x \in\{12,13,21,22,23,3\}$, at most one entry of the multiplicity vector is allowed to be zero. In this case $H$ is not isomorphic to $G_{x}$ but to an induced subgraph of it with one specific vertex removed. We refer to these graphs as relevant subgraphs in the algorithm.

As for Step 6, it takes a constant time to decide whether an isomorphism exists: if $H$ has more than 9 vertices, it is isomorphic to neither one of $G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{21}, G_{22}, G_{23}, G_{3}$ nor to a subgraph of them; otherwise, $H$ has to be compared to each one of these graphs and their relevant subgraphs, where each comparison takes constant time. Finally, Step 10 can be performed in constant time.

We conclude that the running time of Algorithm 1 is dominated by the running time of Step 3, i.e. $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{1.407}\right)$.
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